UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,383	12/21/2001	Tom K. Wentzel	KCC 4843 (KC# 15,400)	5427
	7590 11/21/2007 ers, Leavitt & Roedel	EXAMINER		
16th Floor			KIDWELL, MICHELE M	
One Metropolitan Square St. Louis, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3761	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/032,383	WENTZEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Michele Kidwell	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	<u>July 2007</u> .					
·—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 7,9 and 35 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 7,9 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers		,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according a specific and a specific	ccepted or b) objected to I objected to I objected to I objected to I objected in abeyan objection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

The period under 37 CFR 1.304 for seeking court review of the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rendered July 20, 2007 has expired and no further action has been taken by appellant. The proceedings as to the rejected claims are considered terminated; see 37 CFR 1.197(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 9 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuen (US 5,386,595) in view of Thomas (US 5,720,740).

The difference between Kuen and claim 7 is the provision that the active fastening material comprises a plurality of hooks.

Thomas teaches a fastener wherein the active fastening material may comprise a stitch bonded, nonwoven fabric or any type of fiber known in the art as set forth in col. 25, lines 28 – 52.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the active fastening material of Kuen by providing hooks because Thomas discloses a fastening system that incorporates hooks and teaches that the active fastening material in the

Application/Control Number: 10/032,383

Art Unit: 3761

fastening system may comprise any type of fiber known in the art, including hooks, as set forth in col. 25, lines 28 – 52.

With respect to claim 9, Thomas teaches a disposable absorbent article wherein the plurality of hooks have a density of about 26 hooks (prongs) per square centimeter as set forth in col. 26, lines 50 – 54.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the configuration and density of the plurality of hooks in order to determine the most effective product since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only a level of ordinary skill in the art.

As to claim 35, see the rejection of claim 7.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 571-272-4935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michele Kidwell/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761 Page 4

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER